

September 6, 2013

ADDENDUM #1
REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL #13231
COUNTYWIDE RADIO COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM
RFP Opening Date: OCTOBER 10, 2013, 2:00 p.m. local time

Please note the following clarifications, revisions, and/or additions to the RFP documents.

1. **Question:** On page 16 of the RFP under section **Compliance with Instructions**, it states “ A Site Visit will be held on September 12, 2013” however under **Tentative Schedule below** the RFP shows a pre-proposal meeting on September 12 and Site-Visits September 16 and 17. Will Lake County, IL please confirm the dates of the Pre-Proposal Conference and Site Visits.
Response: The pre-proposal meeting will be held on September 12, 2013 and the Site-Visits will be held on September 16 and 17.
2. **Question:** Page 11 of 25 states you want an option to provide a proposal for at least one backup provision. Are you expecting another proposal document or can we package this together in one proposal?
Response: The proposal for at least one backup provision can be packaged together in one proposal document.
3. **Question:** Required by the RFP: ORIGINAL Executive Summary (does this mean a duplicate of the XSM that’s in Package2?), ORIGINAL proposal (does this mean the “Proposal Volume” a.k.a. PxP in Package 2?), ORIGINAL Terms and Conditions Price Proposal (does this mean the pricing that’s in Package 3?)
 - Please clarify if you want your own documentations back or if Original actually means our submittal as noted above?**Response:** The Package 1 marked “Original” by the proposer contains the duplicate information contained in Package 2 and 3. The signed original transmitter letter and the signed original Terms and Conditions Price Proposal must have the original signatures in this package.
4. **Question:** Do you want any HARD copies (printed books) or ONLY CDs? Do we submit two (2) sets of the Original Proposal Documents together in a separately sealed package meaning two hard copies?
Response: Package 1 contains the original documents which are provided as hard copies or printed books. There are two sets each containing Package 1, 2 and 3.
5. **Question:** In the Technical specs, on page 37 of 283, numbers 7, 8, and 9 are missing. Just making sure we are not missing any technical requirements.
Response: This is a typo error. There are no item numbers 7, 8 and 9 in this section.
6. **Question:** Implementation plan(s) and system description (ATPs, maps, etc.) are all requested in the Technical Spec, however the layout for the individual package submissions do not state where this documentation should go. Where would you like the additional requested documentation to be inserted, i.e. which package and should we add a section number?
Response: Implementation plan(s), system descriptions (ATPs, maps, etc.) and other such information are to be provided as part of the Technical Proposal Section 2 as described on page 18 of 25. The proposer may add additional sub-sections as appropriate to include their documentation.

7. **Question:** Do you want Point by Point sections 16 through 20 of the Technical Specification? What should this section be called?
Response: Yes and include them as part of the Technical Proposal Section 2 as described on page 18 of 25.
8. **Question:** Your last date for asking questions is October 3rd which is very close to the proposal due date of October 10th. Is it possible to move the last question date in a week?
Response: At this time the dates will remain as stated in the RFP.
9. **Question:** Can we provide the "E size maps" on CD rather than paper?
Response: Yes paper or CD is acceptable.
10. **Question:** How many current "must-have buildings" have BDA's today?
Response: The following buildings in Appendix A have an existing BDA (also refer to Section 2.2 of the Exhibit A for additional information on BDAs):
- 2) Administration Tower in Waukegan
 - 3) Babcox Center in Waukegan
 - 4) Community Based Correction Center in Waukegan
 - 7) Court Complex in Waukegan
11. **Question:** Is there any additional coverage needed in the current BDA systems today?
Response: Yes. The current BDA systems are no longer supported and require replacement as part of this RFP.
12. **Question:** Are these buildings part of the site walks?
Response: Yes.
13. **Question:** How many total conventional resources do you want to integrated into your radio system?
Response: Please refer to the Table in Section 3.3 on page 57 of 283.
14. **Question:** Can you supply the 16 dB Shapefiles again with the shapefiles not intersecting/crossing over with each other at any point?
Response: Please elaborate on or clarify the question.
15. **Question:** For the CATP, do we need to pass 95% Inbound and Outbound separately? That is, will we need to pass each of the following separately within Lake County borders?:
- 1. 95% 12 dB Inbound DAQ Testing
 - 2. 95% 12 dB Inbound BER/SSI testing
 - 3. 95% 12 dB Outbound DAQ Testing
 - 4. 95% 12 dB Outbound BER/SSI testing
- Response:** A grid will be considered "failed" if either the inbound or outbound portion of the test fails at that grid. Both inbound and outbound portions of the testing for a grid must pass before the grid is considered as "Passed".
16. **Question:** Section 11.5.5.1 states that "Tile sizes shall be 1/4 mile x 1/4 mile in dimension or greater". What is the maximum tile size allowed?
Response: The tiles are to be consistently sized and in sufficient number to meet the Estimate of Proportion as specified in TIA TSB-88.3-C.
17. **Question:** Can you provide a MS Word version for both of these files?
Response: Yes, the word documents have been uploaded to the Lake County website.

18. **Question:** Additionally, Alcatel-Lucent was advised that as a vendor we could solely address the backhaul section/piece of this RFP. Our team would like to confirm that this approach was acceptable, before preparing our response for this RFP.

Response: The RFP does not allow responding to a portion of the infrastructure (i.e., microwave is considered as part of or a subsystem of the infrastructure). The RFP is requiring a turn-key proposal which the proposer takes responsibility for the "infrastructure".

19. **Question:** In consideration of the size and scope of your Countywide Radio Communication System RFP #13231, we respectfully request that the proposal due date be extended to at least 60 days from the on-site visits to Nov. 17. Accommodating this request will benefit the county by affording all proposers more adequate time to engineer and optimize solutions. This engineering/optimization process is time-consuming but ultimately benefits your public safety agencies and will reduce your overall project costs.

Response: At this time the dates will remain as stated in the RFP.

20. **Question:** I would like to know if there is an estimated budget for the Countywide Radio Communications System project.

Response: Cost will be included in the County's FY2014 Budget that is pending County Board approval.

21. **Question:** How is the County Radio Communications System going to be funded?

Response: See answer #20.

22. **Question:** If funding has not been secured, has it been requested through the budget, grants, etc?

Response: See answer #20.

END ADDENDUM #1

PLEASE SIGN THIS FORM AND EMAIL TO PURCHASING@LAKECOUNTYIL.GOV OR FAX TO (847) 984-5889 WITHIN 24 BUSINESS HOURS. RETURN ORIGINAL WITH YOUR RFP

I will be submitting a RFP _____ or

I will not be submitting a RFP because _____

Sincerely,



RuthAnne Hall
Lake County Purchasing Agent

Acknowledged and Accepted 13231-1:

Signature: _____

Company: _____
